arXiv:1203.5770v1 [math.DS] 26 Mar 2012

On the Boundedness of Solutions of a Rational System with a Variable Coefficient

E. CAMOUZIS

American College of Greece, Deree College, 6 Gravias Street, Aghia Paraskevi, 15342 Athens, Greece

Abstract

We establish the boundedness character of solutions of a system of rational difference equations with a variable coefficient.

1 Introduction

Consider the system of difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{y_n} \text{ and } y_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{1.1}$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers and the initial conditions x_0 and y_0 are positive real numbers.
When $\gamma_n = \gamma > 1$, the solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $(0, \infty)$ and so it is unbounded. When $\gamma = 1$, the solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the identity

$$x_n + y_n + \frac{x_n}{y_n} + \frac{1}{y_n} = x_0 + y_0 + \frac{x_0}{y_0} + \frac{1}{y_0} = A > 2$$

and it is easy to see that it converges to

$$(0, \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2})$$

and so is bounded. Finally, when $0 < \gamma < 1$, it was established in [1] that both components of every solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are bounded from above by a positive constant. The proof that was presented in [1] was based on the properties of the double sequence of finite sums

$$\phi(i, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \gamma^k x_{k+i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots,$$

for which, as it was shown in [1], it holds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(i,n)=\frac{\gamma+x_i}{y_i},\ \ i=0,1,\dots\ .$$

In this paper we extend the ideas of the proof presented in [1] to establish that when $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from below and from above by two positive constants γ' and γ, and more precisely,

$$0<\gamma'\leq\gamma_n\leq\gamma<1,$$

both components of every solution of System (1.1) are bounded from above by a positive constant. It was also shown in [1] that when $\gamma_n=\gamma\in(0,1)$ and the initial conditions are positive real numbers, the dynamics of System (1.1), in terms of boundedness, are equivalent with the dynamics of the system

$$x_{n+1}=\frac{x_n y_n}{x_n+\gamma}\ \text{ and }\ y_{n+1}=\frac{y_n}{x_n+\gamma},\ \ n=0,1,\dots\ . \tag{1.2}$$

More precisely, as it was shown in [1], given a solution $\{x_n,y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of System (1.1) with $\gamma_n=\gamma>0$, the sequence $\{x_n,w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, for which,

$$w_n=\frac{\gamma+x_n}{y_n},\ \ n=0,1,\dots,$$

satisfies

$$x_{n+1}=\frac{x_n w_n}{x_n+\gamma}\ \text{ and }\ w_{n+1}=\frac{w_n}{x_n+\gamma},\ \ n=0,1,\dots\ . \tag{1.3}$$

This is also true for System (1.1) with the variable coefficient γ_n. That is, given a solution $\{x_n,y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of System (1.1), the sequence $\{x_n,w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where

$$w_n=\frac{\gamma_{n-1}+x_n}{y_n},\ \ n=0,2,\dots,$$

with $\gamma_{-1}=\gamma_0$, satisfies the system

$$x_{n+1}=\frac{x_n w_n}{x_n+\gamma_{n-1}}\ \text{ and }\ w_{n+1}=\frac{w_n}{x_n+\gamma_{n-1}},\ \ n=0,1,\dots\ . \tag{1.4}$$

Furthermore,

$$w_{n+1}=\frac{1}{y_n},\ \ \text{for all}\ \ n\geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The following definitions and theorems for double sequences will be useful in the sequel. Assume that $\{\phi(k,n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$, is a double sequence of positive real numbers. Then we say that $\phi(k,n)$ converges to $L \in [0,\infty)$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|\phi(k,n) - L| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all} \quad k, n \geq N.$$

We write

$$\lim_{k,n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) = L,$$

and L is called the double limit of the sequence. The two limits

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \lim_{k\to\infty} \phi(k,n)$$

are called iterated limits.
Assume that $\{\phi(k,n)\}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers and

$$(k_1, n_1) < (k_2, n_2) < \ldots < (k_s, n_s) < \ldots$$

is a strictly increasing sequence of pairs of positive integers. Then $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ is a double subsequence of $\{\phi(k,n)\}$.

The following three theorems will be useful in the sequel. For the proof see [2].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $\{\phi(k,n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a double sequence of positive real numbers which is bounded from above by a positive constant. Also, assume that for each* $k \geq 1$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) = w_k \ \textit{ exists}.$$

Then for any subsequence $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ *of* $\{\phi(k,n)\}$*,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = w_{k_s} \ \textit{ exists for all } \ s.$$

Furthermore, if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) = L \ \textit{ exists},$$

then for any subsequence $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ *of* $\{\phi(k,n)\}$*,*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = L.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that* $\{\phi(k,n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a double sequence of positive real numbers, which is bounded from above by a positive constant. Also, assume that* $\{\phi(k_s,n_t)\}$ *is a double subsequence of* $\{\phi(k,n)\}$ *which strictly decreases (resp. increases) to a nonnegative value* L *and also*

$$\phi(k_s,n_t) < \phi(i,j),\ (\textit{resp.}\phi(k_s,n_t) > \phi(i,j))\ \textit{for all}\ \ (i,j) < (k_s,n_t)$$

and for all (k_s,n_t). *Then*

$$\lim_{s,t\to\infty} \phi(k_s,n_t) = \lim_{s\to\infty}\lim_{t\to\infty} \phi(k_s,n_t) = \lim_{t\to\infty}\lim_{s\to\infty} \phi(k_s,n_t) = L \in [0,\infty).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that* $\{\phi(k,n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ *is a double sequence of positive real numbers such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k,n)\ \ \textit{exists uniformly}\ \ \textit{in}\ \ k$$

and that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) = L.$$

Then the double limit of the sequence $\{\phi(k,n)\}$ *exists and*

$$\lim_{k,n\to\infty} \phi(k,n) = L.$$

2 Boundedness

In this section we establish that both components of every solution of System (1.1) are bounded from above by a positive constant.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $\{x_n,y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *be a solution of System (1.1) with positive initial conditions* x_0 *and* y_0 *and such that*

$$0 < \gamma' \leq \gamma_n \leq \gamma < 1,\ \ \textit{for all}\ \ n \geq 0$$

and $\gamma', \gamma \in (0,1)$. *Then both components of the solution* $\{x_n,y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *are bounded from above by a positive constant.*

The proof of the theorem will be presented at the end of this section.
Set $\gamma_{-1} = \gamma_0$. Consider the double sequence of finite sums

$$\phi(i,n) = x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1}x_{i+2} + \gamma_{i-1}\gamma_i x_{i+3} + \ldots + \gamma_{i-1}\cdots\gamma_{i+n-3}x_{i+n},$$

with

$$i = 0, 1, \dots \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

or equivalently,

$$\phi(i, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(i, k) x_{i+k+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \; n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.1}$$

where for each $i \geq 0$,

$$\mu(i, k) = \prod_{j=i-1}^{k+i-3} \gamma_j, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\mu(i, 1) = 1.$$

The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. *It holds that*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(i, k) = \lim_{i,k\to\infty} \mu(i, k) = 0.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(i, k) = 0$$

uniformly for each i. Indeed, for a given positive number ϵ and i arbitrary but fixed, we choose $k > \dfrac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln \gamma} + 1$, or equivalently $\gamma^{k-1} < \epsilon$. Then

$$\mu(i, k) < \gamma^{k-1} < \epsilon$$

from which the result follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of System (1.1). Then for each $i \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)} = \infty.$$

Proof. From the first equation of System (1.1) we see that

$$\frac{y_{i+n+1}}{\mu(i, n+3)} = \frac{x_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+3)} + \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and so the sequence $\left\{\dfrac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i,n+2)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing. Now assume for the sake of contradiction that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i,n+2)} = L \in (0,\infty).$$

Then, there exists a positive number ϵ arbitrarily small and a positive integer N sufficiently large such that

$$y_{n+i} < (L+\epsilon)\mu(i,n+2), \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \geq N.$$

From Lemma 2.2, the sequence $\{\mu(i,n+2)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to zero. Thus, the sequence $\{y_{i+n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ goes to zero as well. Furthermore,

$$\mu(i,n+2) = \prod_{j=i-1}^{n+i-1} \gamma_j \leq \gamma^{n+1}, \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \geq 0$$

implies that

$$y_{i+n} \leq (L+\epsilon)\gamma^{n+1}, \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \geq N$$

and so

$$x_{i+n+1} = \frac{x_{i+n}}{y_{i+n}} \geq \frac{1}{(L+\epsilon)\gamma^{n+1}} \cdot x_{i+n}, \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \geq N$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{i+n+1} = \infty.$$

However, from the second equation

$$y_{i+n+1} > x_{i+n}, \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \geq 0$$

which contradicts the fact that the sequence $\{y_{i+n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to 0.

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ *be a solution of (1.1). Then for all* $i \geq 0$*,*

$$\frac{x_i + \gamma_{i-1}}{y_i} = w_i = \phi(i,n) + \mu(i,n+1)w_{i+n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots . \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Let $i \geq 0$ be given. Clearly, in view of (1.4),

$$w_i = x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1} w_{i+1}$$

and so the result is true when $n = 1$. Assume that $k > 1$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1} x_{i+2} + \ldots + \gamma_{i-1} \cdots \gamma_{i+k-3} x_{i+k} + \gamma_{i-1} \cdots \gamma_{i+k-2} w_{i+k} \\ &= \phi(i,k) + \mu(i,k+1) w_{k+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \phi(i,k) + \mu(i,k+1)(x_{i+k+1} + \gamma_{i+k-1} w_{i+k+1}) \\ &= \phi(i,k) + \mu(i,k+1) x_{i+k+1} + \mu(i,k+1) \gamma_{i+k-1} w_{i+k+1} \\ &= \phi(i,k+1) + \mu(i,k+2) w_{i+k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

□

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1.1). Then for all $i \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(i,n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(i,k) x_{i+k+1} = \frac{x_i + \gamma_{i-1}}{y_i} = w_i. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. The result follows from (2.2) together with the fact, in view of (1.5) and Lemma 2.3, that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu(i,n+1) w_{i+n} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu(i,n+1)}{y_{i+n-1}} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1.1) and assume that for an infinite sequence of positive integers $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{x_{k_i}\}$ is a bounded subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ and*

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{x_{k_i} + \gamma_{k_i - 1}}{y_{k_i}} = \lim_{i\to\infty} w_{k_i} = M \in (0,\infty).$$

Then the following statements are true:

1.

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(k_i, n) = M.$$

2. For any subsequence $\{\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j)\}$ *of* $\{\phi(k_i, n)\}$*, it holds*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\lim_{j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = M.$$

3.

$$\limsup_{i,n\to\infty}\phi(k_i, n) \le M.$$

4.

$$\liminf_{i,n\to\infty}\phi(k_i, n) > 0.$$

5.

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} x_{k_i+1} > 0.$$

Proof. 1. The proof follows from Lemma 2.5 and the hypothesis.
2. The proof is an immediate consequence of the result of Part 1 and Theorem 1.1, which is presented in the Introduction.
3. The proof is an immediate consequence of the fact that, for each $i \ge 1$,

$$\phi(k_i, n) < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\mu(k_i, n)x_{k+k_i+1} = w_{k_i}, \quad \text{for all} \;\; n \ge 1$$

and the hypothesis that $w_{k_i} \to M$.
4. The proof will be by contradiction. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists a decreasing subsequence $\{\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j)\}_{s,j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\phi(k_i, n)\}$, for which

$$\lim_{s,j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = 0$$

and

$$\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) < \phi(p, q), \quad \text{for all} \;\; (p, q) < (k_{i_s}, n_j).$$

We claim that both $\{k_{i_s}\}$ and $\{n_j\}$ must increase to infinity. Otherwise, for k_{i_s} finite and fixed,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = 0.$$

In view of the result of Part 2 and the hypothesis, we see that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = w_{k_{i_s}} > 0$$

which is a contradiction.
On the other hand assume that there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},j)=0,\ \ j=1,2,\ldots,N \ \text{ and } \ \liminf_{s\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},N+1)>0.$$

In view of (2.1), as $s\to\infty$, it is easy to see that

$$x_{k_{i_s}+t}\to 0,\ \ \text{for all}\ \ t=1,\ldots,N.$$

By choosing a further subsequence of $\{k_{i_s}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$, which for economy in notation we still denote it as $\{k_{i_s}\}$, it holds that for each $j=-1,0,\ldots,N-2,$ the sequence $\{\gamma_{k_{i_s}+j}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a positive number. Set

$$m=\lim_{s\to\infty}\prod_{j=-1}^{N-2}\gamma_{k_{i_s}+j}\in(0,\infty).$$

Clearly, and in view of (1.4),

$$w_{k_{i_s}+N}\to\frac{M}{m}>0.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_{i_s}+N+1}=\frac{x_{k_{i_s}+N}w_{k_{i_s}+N}}{\gamma_{k_{i_s}+N-1}+x_{k_{i_s}+N}}\to 0$$

and so, in view of (2.1),

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},N+1)=0$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the sequences $\{k_{i_s}\}$ and $\{n_j\}$ are infinite sequences of positive integers and both increase to infinity. By applying Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\lim_{s,j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},n_j)=\lim_{s\to\infty}\lim_{j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},n_j)=0.$$

On the other hand, by applying the result of Part 2, we see that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\lim_{j\to\infty}\phi(k_{i_s},n_j)=M\in(0,\infty)$$

which is a contradiction.
5. From Part 4, clearly, there exists a positive number I such that

$$\phi(k_i,n)>I,\ \ \text{for all}\ \ i,n\geq N.$$

In particular,

$$\phi(k_i, N) = x_{k_i+1} + \gamma_{k_i-1}x_{k_i+2} + \ldots + \gamma_{k_i-1}\cdots\gamma_{k_i-3+N}x_{k_i+N} > I > 0, \tag{2.4}$$

for all $i \geq N$. Now assume for the sake of contradiction and without loss of generality that

$$x_{k_i+1} \to 0.$$

Note that

$$w_{k_i} = x_{k_i+1} + \gamma_{k_i-1}w_{k_i+1} \Rightarrow w_{k_i+1} = \frac{w_{k_i}}{\gamma_{k_i-1}} - \frac{x_{k_i+1}}{\gamma_{k_i-1}}$$

and so there exists a further subsequence of $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, which for economy in notation we still denote as $\{k_i\}$, such that

$$\gamma_{k_i-1} \to m > 0 \text{ and } w_{k_i+1} \to \frac{M}{m},$$

and so

$$x_{k_i+2} = \frac{x_{k_i+1}w_{k_i+1}}{\gamma_{k_i} + x_{k_i+1}} \to 0.$$

By induction, we see that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} x_{k_i+j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$$

By taking limits in (2.4), as $i \to \infty$, we get a contradiction.

□

We now present the proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of System (1.1). First we establish that the component $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of the solution is bounded from below by a positive constant. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists an infinite sequence of indices $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$y_{n_i+1} = x_{n_i} + \gamma_{n_i}y_{n_i} \to 0.$$

Clearly,

$$x_{n_i-t} \to 0 \text{ and } y_{n_i-t} \to 0, \quad \text{for all } t = 0, 1, \ldots .$$

In addition, there exists a sequence of indices $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$k_i \le n_i, \quad \text{for all} \;\; i,$$

for which

$$(y_{k_i-1} \ge 1 \text{ and } y_{k_i} < 1) \text{ and } (y_t < 1, \quad \text{for all} \;\; t \in \{k_i+1, \ldots, n_i\}), \tag{2.5}$$

because otherwise,

$$x_{n_i} = \frac{x_0}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_i-1} y_j} > x_0,$$

which is a contradiction. From

$$y_{k_i} = x_{k_i-1} + \gamma_{k_i-1} y_{k_i-1} \;\; \text{and} \;\; y_{k_i-1} \ge 1, \quad \text{for all} \;\; i,$$

it follows that

$$y_{k_i} \ge \gamma_{k_i-1} \ge \gamma', \quad \text{for all} \;\; i,$$

and so

$$y_{k_i} \in [\gamma', 1), \quad \text{for all} \;\; i.$$

For i sufficiently large, when $r \in \{k_i+1, \ldots, n_i\}$,

$$x_r = \frac{x_{r-1}}{y_{r-1}} > x_{r-1}$$

and more precisely,

$$x_{n_i} > x_{n_i-1} > \ldots > x_{k_i+1} > x_{k_i}.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_i} < x_{n_i},$$

from which it follows that $x_{k_i} \to 0$. By utilizing the fact that

$$y_{k_i} \in [\gamma', 1), \quad \text{for all} \;\; i,$$

we may select a further subsequence of $\{k_i\}$, still denoted as $\{k_i\}$ such that

$$y_{k_i} \to L \in [\gamma', 1] \;\; \text{and} \;\; \gamma_{k_i-1} \to l_{-1} \in [\gamma', \gamma].$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_i+1} = \frac{x_{k_i}}{y_{k_i}} \to 0 \;\; \text{and} \;\; w_{k_i} = \frac{\gamma_{k_i-1} + x_{k_i}}{y_{k_i}} \to \frac{l_{-1}}{L} = M \in \left[\gamma', \frac{\gamma}{\gamma'}\right].$$

By applying Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} x_{k_i+1} > 0$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, the component $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of the solution is bounded from below by a positive constant m. In view of

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \frac{1}{y_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{x_n}{x_n + \gamma_{n-1}}, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1,$$

we see that

$$x_{n+1} < \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 1,$$

and so the component $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from above. From the second equation of the system, clearly

$$y_{n+1} < \frac{1}{m} + \gamma y_n, \quad \text{for all} \quad n \geq 2,$$

and so

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} y_n \leq \frac{1}{m(1-\gamma)}.$$

The proof of the Theorem is complete.

□

References

[1] E. Camouzis, On the Boundedness of Solutions of a Rational System, *International Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, (to appear).

[2] Habil, E. D., Double sequences and double series, submitted to the Islamaic University Journal, (2005).